

BIOS BRITISH AND IRISH ORTHOPTIC SOCIETY

# The British and Irish Orthoptic Society (BIOS) Position Statement for Vision Services in Stroke Practice

2021

British and Irish Orthoptic Society www.orthoptics.org.uk

## The British and Irish Orthoptic Society (BIOS) Position Statement for Vision Services in Stroke Practice 2021

Contents	page
1. Introduction	3
2. Role of the Orthoptist	3
3. Evidence	5
3.1 Incidence	6
3.2 Early intervention	7
3.3 Long-term Follow up	8
4. Staffing recommendations	9
5. Summary	10
6. References	10

Acknowledgements

This document has been compiled by Fiona Rowe<sup>1</sup> and Tracey Shipman<sup>2</sup> and further updated by Fiona Rowe in consultation with the Stroke and Neuro-Rehabilitation Clinical Advisory Group

1. Professor of Orthoptics, University of Liverpool

2. Clinical Lead, Orthoptics, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

We acknowledge the previous contribution of Shirley Harrison, Bury PCT, Claire Howard, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust and Nicola Bennett, Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust

#### 1. Introduction

This document is the fourth edition of the BIOS position statement for vision services in stroke practice. It provides a brief overview of Orthoptic practice for individuals who have had a stroke, their carers and health care practitioners. It also includes recommendations for the staffing input required from Orthoptists for acute stroke units. It has been updated using a combination of current research evidence from the medical literature and Cochrane systematic reviews, expert consensus from the Orthoptic Clinical Advisory Group (CAG) in Stroke and neuro-rehabilitation and models of best practice

Visual problems following stroke are multifaceted, cause significant impairment and can be a barrier to rehabilitation. Since the launch of the National Stroke Strategy in 2007, there have been considerable advances in the education of healthcare professionals involved in the care of stroke survivors in addition to advances in the assessment and treatment of visual impairment due to stroke <sup>1</sup>. National stroke guidelines <sup>1, 2</sup> state that stroke survivors with visual problems must be referred for specialist assessment and management. This has been further endorsed in the current edition of the Royal College of Physicians (RCP) Guidelines, 2016, that states that each stroke acute unit and service should have an Orthoptist as part of the core multi-disciplinary team <sup>3</sup>. The British and Irish Orthoptic Society (BIOS) provide guidance through the Professional Practice Guidelines for Orthoptists working with patients who have had a stroke <sup>4</sup>. These detail the specific assessment procedures and strategies for Orthoptists, to facilitate high quality and uniform care to stroke survivors who benefit from Orthoptic input.

Orthoptists have clinical expertise in the diagnosis and management of eye movement abnormality disorders affecting binocular vision and stereovision, in visual neglect and perception and in visual field assessment. They are experienced in providing a diagnosis and management in both the early post-acute phase and long-term care for these patients. As the incidence of visual problems following stroke is 60% or more <sup>5</sup>, Orthoptists are therefore essential in the care and management of stroke patients by contributing their specialist knowledge and skills as part of the multi-disciplinary team (MDT). The Orthoptist has an important role to play in stroke rehabilitation and it is recommended that links between the stroke and Orthoptic departments should be established in all units <sup>6-9</sup>.

#### 2. Role of the Orthoptist

Orthoptists undertake specialised ocular testing procedures with provision of treatment options in the area of visual impairment following stroke. An Orthoptic assessment can provide the patient, the multi-disciplinary team and carers with a clear explanation of the visual defects that have arisen following stroke and how they can affect the patient and the ability to undertake activities of daily living, this often aids rehabilitation <sup>10, 11</sup>. Communication is

often difficult after stroke but Orthoptists can use techniques and tests that are non-verbal, making a reliable assessment possible. About 40% of stroke survivors, in the acute stage, do not or cannot report visual symptoms despite presence of visual impairment <sup>12</sup>.

Diplopia (double vision), blurred/altered vision, ocular muscle imbalance, visual field deficits and visual inattention are common visual deficits that occur following a stroke. Orthoptists can assist with these problems to aid rehabilitation. They can provide information, compensatory and adaptive strategies, reading aids and advising on visual search techniques for visual field loss and inattention. They can also offer advice on the utilisation of a compensatory head posture, or utilising prisms and occlusion for diplopia or visual disturbances such as nystagmus. This can have a positive impact on general rehabilitation as enhancing ocular abilities and making best use of residual vision can aid general balance, mobility and the ability to carry out many activities of daily level, which can help the patient maintain a level of independence:

- Orthoptists use their specialist knowledge of the visual system, the brain and its associated pathologies to formulate strategies to assess the patient.
- By using quantitative and qualitative tests to investigate further the visual status of the patient, the Orthoptist can determine:
  - Presence of an ocular condition
  - Diagnosis of the type of ocular condition
  - Whether the ocular defects are due to the recent stroke or preexisting pathology
  - The possible prognosis for ocular improvement and recovery
- Orthoptists advise, in the presence of ocular motility disorders and in particular gaze palsies, on how to utilise residual visual functions including compensatory head postures/movements, prisms and positioning of objects.
- They help to alleviate symptoms of diplopia using prisms, occlusion or advice on the use of a compensatory head posture and positioning as appropriate and monitor accordingly.
- An explanation, advice and treatment options regarding the presence of nystagmus as appropriate can be given regarding the use of a compensatory head posture or positioning, and / or the use of other strategies such as occlusion to lessen symptoms of oscillopsia, vertigo or blurring.
- Advise on the strategies available to cope with visual field loss such as positioning, scanning, prisms and exaggerated head movements will be given if appropriate.
- Orthoptists will arrange formal visual field assessment (if not already performed) where visual field loss is detected by confrontation testing and recovery is not evident by the time of discharge <sup>13</sup>. Zhang, Kedar <sup>14</sup> recommends visual field testing should be systematically performed in all stroke patients. This is also particularly important for driving standards and is discussed in the current RCP guidelines 2016 <sup>3</sup>.

- Identify visual inattention, because it has implications for the patient's safety and mobility, and it impacts on activities of daily living and provide advice and strategies for rehabilitation.
- Orthoptists can provide patients with the option of a referral to Ophthalmology for consideration of certification for vision impairment where applicable
- The Orthoptist can also arrange other additional help and support from ECLO, support workers that work within their own trust.
- As part of the stroke MDT, the Orthoptist designs and implements treatment plans with the patient's involvement and consent:
- Orthoptists consider all treatment options in liaison with the stroke team and the patient, taking into account prognostic indicators, available resources, any adverse side effects and level of patient involvement that will be required.
- In conjunction with the stroke team, the Orthoptist advises and structures the specific treatment with respect to the general condition of the patient.
- Details of verbal or written instructions/information regarding Orthoptic, optical and, if required, ophthalmic procedures and care given to the patient and/or carer are provided.
- Written/verbal information is given to the MDT on the ocular status of the patient such as:
  - Identifying the patient's glasses that are required for reading and distance tasks
  - Identifying if the patient has vision within expected norms or if this is reduced
  - Identifying if the patient has diplopia, visual field loss or inattention
  - Identifying if the patient has any difficulty with their vision that may affect balance, judging distances and mobility
- The Orthoptist informs the patient and the stroke team at an appropriate time when the presence of a visual defect such as double vision, visual field loss, visual inattention or reduced vision will affect the patient's driving eligibility and when it is necessary to inform the DVLA
- A cost-effective service is provided by Orthoptists by screening for stroke-associated visual defects and thus save on unnecessary referrals to Ophthalmology

The positive effect that reassurance and explanation to the patient can have when their visual problems after stroke are fully defined to them by knowledgeable professionals should not be underestimated.

### 3. Evidence

The impact of visual impairment can be wide ranging. Impact on functional performance can include general mobility, self-care, ability to judge distances

due to diplopia or impaired stereo vision, reading impairment due to cortical or ocular dysfunction, impaired colour perception and visual hallucinations. Impact to quality of life is also an issue with changes to independent living, ability to drive, social functioning, dependency, loss of confidence and links to anxiety and depression <sup>8, 10, 15-20</sup>. Eye movement disorders impact on the effectiveness of rehabilitation therapy in regaining mobility and activities of daily living <sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.1. Incidence

There has been one epidemiology study of the presence and extent of visual deficits following stroke <sup>5</sup>. Visual deficits arising after stroke are multi-faceted and frequent with a prevalence reported as up to 73% and incidence of new onset vision problems in up to 60% <sup>5</sup>. The visual sequelae of stroke are many but broadly relate to low vision, visual field loss, ocular motility deficits and visual perception difficulties <sup>7, 8, 21, 22</sup>.

Low vision has been reported in up to 56% of stroke survivors and can relate to associated vascular pathology or to other co-existent ocular abnormalities such as glaucoma, cataract and refractive error <sup>5, 23</sup>.

Ocular motility disorders can be divided into cortical deficits of strabismus, saccadic or smooth pursuit eye movements and brain stem defects including cranial nerve palsies, nystagmus, gaze palsies and skew deviations. The prevalence of ocular alignment and/or ocular motility deficits is estimated at up to 64% <sup>5, 24-31</sup>.

Perceptual problems encompass agnosia, simultanagnosia, prosopagnosia, alexia and achromatopsia amongst others <sup>32</sup>. Visual inattention (VI) is a frequent perceptual sequelae of right-hemisphere damage <sup>33</sup> although it has also been reported in left hemisphere damage <sup>34, 35</sup>. The reported prevalence varies but has been documented to be as high as 85% with some degree of neglect <sup>35-37</sup>. Recovery of VI frequently occurs in the early post-acute stage, however persistent neglect has been documented to be as high as 31.5% <sup>38</sup>.

Homonymous visual field defects are among the most common disorders that occur after stroke but are not the only type of visual field loss that can occur. The prevalence of post-stroke homonymous visual field loss is relatively high and frequently underestimated by confrontational testing with stroke patients often unaware of their field loss <sup>39</sup>.

Estimates of incidence of stroke-associated visual field loss vary considerably, depending on the method of testing used or what stage post stroke testing was performed with ranges from 20% <sup>40</sup> to 63% <sup>41</sup>. They lead to considerable disabilities, particularly with reading, visual exploration, mobility and are associated with a higher risk of falls and also associated with reduced prognosis for successful rehabilitation <sup>42</sup> and frequently preclude driving <sup>43</sup>. Visual field loss, like low vision, is associated with a higher risk of falls and thus it is important to obtain the diagnosis of visual field impairment for this group of already compromised patients <sup>8, 44</sup>.

#### 3.2. Early intervention

National guidelines <sup>2, 3, 45</sup> recommend that best care starts with a specialist assessment and continues in a specific stroke unit with active management. An assessment of visual deficits in the immediate acute phase is the optimum time for Orthoptic input. Core outcome sets exist for vision screening and assessment of stroke survivors <sup>46</sup>. Core outcome sets represent the minimum that should be measured and reported for a specific condition, and aids standardisation. Screening assessment should comprise: Case history previous ocular history and use of glasses, open questions; Observations; Visual acuity; Eye alignment position; Eye movement – ocular motility assessment; Visual field assessment; Visual neglect assessment; Functional vision assessment; and Reading assessment. Full orthoptic assessment should include, as a minimum; Case history - previous ocular history and use of glasses, open questions, visual fatigue and visual perception questions; Observations - including lids and pupils; Visual acuity; Eye alignment position; Eye movement - ocular motility assessment; Binocular vision assessment; Eye position measurement; Visual field assessment; Visual neglect assessment; Functional vision assessment; Reading assessment; and Quality of life questionnaires.

At the immediate acute stage, the Orthoptist can provide essential information to the MDT on the ocular status of the patient so that other professionals can consider and use this knowledge when planning and carrying out their own rehabilitation plans. RCP guidelines specifically call for Orthoptists as a member of the core multi-disciplinary team in acute stroke units.

Early assessment of stroke survivors is advised within the first three days of stroke admission or before discharge, whichever is the soonest. Over half of stroke survivors can be reliably assessed for visual status during that time, whilst the majority will be assessed within one week <sup>5</sup>.

The neglect syndrome rather than overall stroke severity is an important predictor of poor functional recovery <sup>47, 48</sup> and therefore, therapy treatment for neglect remains a high priority. Treatment targeted at visual neglect has been shown to improve outcome and reduce pressure on resources <sup>49</sup>. However it is generally recognised that more research is needed to better define which treatment techniques are the most beneficial <sup>50</sup>. Treatment options have included prism adaptation <sup>51</sup>, visual imagery techniques <sup>52, 53</sup>, and visual scanning training <sup>54</sup>. A Cochrane systematic review considers the many interventions for visual inattention <sup>55</sup>.

There is evidence to support visuospatial rehabilitation for deficits associated with visual neglect after right hemisphere stroke <sup>56, 57</sup>. Spacio-motor cueing and early emphasis on function can improve outcome and reduce resource use in patients with visual neglect <sup>49</sup>. Motor and functional recovery of stroke patients with neglect seems to be significantly improved by the simultaneous presence of a treatment specifically focussed on neglect <sup>58-60</sup>. Results from systematic reviews for interventions for visuo-spatial neglect have not found sufficient evidence to reach conclusions relating to the effectiveness of interventions. However, of the potentially promising interventions visual scanning training appears to be the intervention with the most supporting evidence <sup>2</sup>.

There is a limited literature addressing possible rehabilitation options for patients with homonymous hemianopias and this has been reported clearly by a Cochrane systematic review <sup>61</sup>. Visual search training is most frequently implemented for those with visual field loss and is shown to have benefit for stroke survivors with hemianopia <sup>62, 63</sup>. It has been reported that up to 70% of patients with homonymous field defects show disorganised visual search strategy, which can be markedly improved with systematic training of saccadic eye movements, and visual search strategies <sup>64</sup>. Visual field rehabilitation strategies should be initiated early after injury <sup>14</sup>. Despite uncertainty as to the long-term efficacy of this treatment due to lack of large-scale systematic trials, advocates promote the increased speed of adaptation to visual field loss and the benefit of this to rehabilitation. Most importantly, it is a low-cost treatment that generalises across a wide range of tasks for many weeks afterwards.

Treatment may also involve expanding the visual field in lateral gaze using sector prisms. Patients, particularly those who are younger or motivated, report improvement in functioning and obstacle avoidance <sup>58</sup>. However, it appears that although patients may show adaptation to the field defect there is little objective alteration of the visual field boundaries <sup>65</sup> and there may be increased adverse events relating to headaches and visual confusion <sup>62</sup>.

It is important that low vision is identified in older patients <sup>30</sup>. In many cases it is treatable, e.g. spectacles for uncorrected refractive errors or surgical extraction of cataract. However undiagnosed low vision or untreated low vision is a risk factor for falls and is also linked with depression and reduced activity of daily living performance <sup>8</sup>. Importantly low vision can also impact on the rehabilitation of stroke <sup>66</sup>.

Patients with disorders of eye movement should receive appropriate advice or interventions from appropriately trained specialists <sup>2</sup>. It is important to recognise that improvement can be noted with ocular motility treatment <sup>67, 68</sup>. Patients may be treated in a variety of ways dependent on their symptoms <sup>27</sup>. Those with diplopia can be given Fresnel prisms to join the double images and where it is not possible to achieve this with prisms, occlusion – either total or sector – can be utilised. Exercises are advocated in many cases of convergence weakness and compensatory head postures are advised to aid gaze disorders. In addition, advice may be given in relation to scanning and tracking strategies and use of a typoscope to aid reading <sup>28, 69</sup>.

#### 3.3. Long-term follow up

In cases of visual field loss, most improvement occurs by 3-6 months <sup>43, 44</sup>. In cases of diplopia, most recovery is expected by 6 months. By 6 months poststroke, the Orthoptist will have either determined the next stage of intervention such as prism incorporation, referral to Ophthalmology for\_sight-impaired registration, botulinum toxin or surgery as appropriate OR assessed the patient as fit for discharge. It seems reasonable therefore to anticipate an average follow-up time of 6 months in most cases, based on current evidence. However, as 'the impact of stroke varies hugely, support in the long-term needs to be tailored to meet the individual's needs' <sup>1</sup>. Follow-up can be arranged in an out-patient setting as long as is required to support long-term rehabilitation as appropriate and reflects the stated required staffing levels to support such long-term care.

Throughout the process of initial and follow-up assessments, it is important that patients and carers are provided with appropriate information that explains the potential visual problems in an appropriate manner <sup>27</sup>. Early provision of visual information is reported as beneficial by stroke survivors as is post-discharge information about local support services.

Whilst many stroke survivors have the opportunity to obtain visual assessment in their early post-stroke period, it is recognised that other stroke survivors may present requiring visual assessment at many varied time points and through many different services. Regardless of time or mode of presentation, orthoptic assessment should be organised <sup>70</sup>.

#### 4. Staffing recommendations

Orthoptists accept direct referrals from in-patient stroke units, TIA clinics, ophthalmology outpatient clinics and community follow-up referrals. It is recommended to allow for multidisciplinary working and provision of education sessions for other professionals working with stroke patients. The National Stroke Strategy stated that staffing levels are currently inadequate <sup>1</sup>. A survey in 2010 stated that staffing levels had not changed significantly and that 78% of stroke units had access to Orthoptists <sup>71</sup>. A 10-year update of this survey reported an approximate doubling of orthoptic stroke services across the UK, but with a number of stroke units remaining without any vision service and some existent orthoptic services being ad-hoc provision rather than mainstream <sup>72</sup>. To comply with current national stroke guidelines and to mitigate against health inequality this needs to be addressed.

Key elements for high quality stroke/vision services have been published <sup>73</sup> and include;

- Minimum designated orthoptic sessions per week (per BIOS 2014 recommendations)
- Flexible appointments
- Formal stroke team training
- Formal support from stroke physicians
- Lay summaries
- Open communication
- Orthoptic assessment within one week of stroke onset

- Orthoptist named on core stroke team
- Provision of visual information leaflets
- Rota of orthoptic staff
- Standardised referral form
- Vision care pathway.

In previous recommendations, regarding staffing input from Orthoptists providing stroke care was 0.1fte Orthoptists per 10 bedded stroke unit. In 2014 the mean length of stay for stroke survivors was 17 days, which is longer than more recent durations <sup>74</sup>.

Currently (part based on SSNAP data) the average length of stay is 3 days for hyper-acute stroke units, 7 days for acute stroke units and 14-20 days for rehabilitation units. Thus, there is now a greater turnover and patient throughput in hyper-acute and acute stroke units. This warrants a greater investment in orthoptic sessions.

The recommended staffing input from Orthoptists providing stroke care is 0.4 full-time equivalent Orthoptists per 10 bedded hyper-acute stroke unit, taking into account the rapid turn-over and numbers of stroke admissions in this care setting. The recommendation is 0.2 full-time equivalent Orthoptists per 10 bedded acute stroke unit, acknowledging the slightly longer length of stay in this setting and 0.1 full-time equivalent Orthoptists per 10 bedded neuro-rehabilitation unit. One out-patient orthoptic clinic per week is recommended for follow-up appointments per 30 bedded stroke provision.

This is the **minimum** recommendation to provide adequate services for stroke patients. Allowance should also be made for the administrative workload that accompanies provision of a stroke-vision service.

#### 5. Summary

It is recommended that orthoptists are part of the core stroke MDT and. the high prevalence of visual impairment post-stroke (73%) is now established. An assessment for visual impairment in the immediate post-acute phase is the optimum time for Orthoptic input, to enable provision of information the MDT so rehabilitation can be optimised. A minimum of 0.2 full time equivalent Orthoptists per 10 bedded stroke unit is the recommended staffing input.

#### 6. References

1. Department of Health. National Stroke Strategy. London: DH Publications, 2007.

2. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. *SIGN Guideline 118: Management of patients with stroke: Rehabilitation, prevention and management of complications and discharge planning.* 2010. Edinburgh: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. 3. Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party. *National clinical guideline for stroke*. 5th ed. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2016.

4. British and Irish Orthoptic Society. *Compentency standards and professional practice guidelines: extended practioner role* 2018. London: British and Irish Orthoptic Society,.

5. Rowe FJ, Hepworth LR, Howard C, et al. High incidence and prevalence of visual problems after acute stroke: an epidemiology study with implications for service delivery. *PLoS One* 2019; 14. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0213035

6. Clisby C. Visual assessment of patients with cerebrovascular accident on the elderly care wards. *British Orthoptic Journal* 1995; 52: 38-41.

7. Freeman CF and Rudge NB. Cerebrovasular accident and the orthoptist. *British Orthoptic Journal* 1988; 45: 8-18.

8. Jones SA and Shinton RA. Improving outcome in stroke patients with visual problems. *Age and Ageing* 2006; 35: 560-565.

9. Rowe FJ, Hepworth LR, Howard C, et al. Impact of visual impairment following stroke (IVIS study): A prospective clinical profile of central and peripheral visual deficits, eye movement abnormalities and visual perceptual deficits. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2020. DOI: 10.1080/09638288.2020.1859631.

10. Rowe FJ. Stroke survivors' views and experiences on impact of visual impairment. *Brain and Behavior* 2017. DOI: 10.1002/brb3.778.

11. Freeman CF. Collaborative working on a stroke-rehabilitation ward. *Parallel Vision* 2003; 56.

12. Hepworth LR, Howard C, Hanna KL, et al. "Eye" don't see: An analysis of visual symptom reporting by storke survivros from a large epidemiology study. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2021.105759.

13. Patterson G, Howard C, Hepworth L, et al. The impact of visual field loss on driving skills: a systematic narrative review. *British and Irish Orthoptic Journal* 2019; 15: 53-63.

14. Zhang X, Kedar S, Lynn MJ, et al. Homonymous hemianopias: Clinicalanatomic correlations in 904 cases. *Neurology* 2006; 66: 906-910.

15. Chen CS, Lee AW, Clarke G, et al. Vision-related quality of life in patients with complete homonymous hemianopia post stroke. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation* 2009; 16: 445-453.

16. Fisk GD, Owsley C and Mennemeier M. Vision, attention and selfreported driving behaviors in community-dwelling stroke survivors. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabiliation* 2002; 83: 469-4477.

17. Hepworth LR and Rowe FJ. Visual impairment following stroke - the impact on quality of life: a systematic review. *Ophthalmology Research* 2016; 5: 1-15.

18. Langelaan M, de Boer MR, van Nispen RMA, et al. Impact of visual impairment on quality of life: a comparison with quality of life in the general population and with other chronic conditions. *Ophthalmic Epidemiology* 2007; 14: 119-126.

19. Papageorgiou E, Hardiess G, Schaeffel F, et al. Assessment of visionrelated quality of life in patients with homonymous visual field defects. *Graefes Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology* 2007; 245: 1749-1758. 20. Bodis-Wollner I and Diamond SP. The measurement of spatial contrast sensitivity in cases of blurred vision associated with cerebral lesions. *Brain* 1976; 99: 695-710.

21. MacIntosh C. Stroke re-visited: visual problems following stroke and their effect on rehabilitation. *British Orthoptic Journal* 2003; 60: 10-14.

22. Rowe F and VIS writing group. Vision In Stroke cohort: profile overview of visual impairment. *Brain and Behavior* 2017. DOI: 10.1002/brb3.771.

23. Lotery AJ, Wiggam MI, Jackson AJ, et al. Correctable visual impairment in stroke rehabilitation patients. *Age and Ageing* 2000; 29: 221-222.

24. Ciuffreda KJ, Kapoor N, Rutner D, et al. Occurence of oculomotor dysfunctions in acquired brain injury: a retrospective analysis. *Optometry* 2007; 78: 155-161.

25. Fowler MS, Wade DT, Richardson AJ, et al. Squints and diplopia seen after brain damage. *Journal of Neurology* 1996; 243: 86-90.

26. Rowe FJ, Wright D, Brand D, et al. Profile of gaze dysfunction following cerebrovascular accident. *ISRN Ophthalmology* 2013; 2013. DOI: 10.1155/2013/264604.

27. Rowe F and VIS Group UK. Symptoms of stroke-related visual impairment. *Strabismus* 2013; 21: 150-154.

28. Rowe F, Wright D, Brand D, et al. Reading difficulty after stroke: ocular and non ocular causes. *International Journal of Stroke* 2011; 6: 404-411.

29. Rowe F and VIS Group UK. Prevalence of ocular motor cranial nerve palsy and associations following stroke. *Eye* 2011; 25: 881-887.

30. Rowe F, Brand D, Jackson CA, et al. Visual impairment following stroke: do stroke patients require vision assessment? *Age and Ageing* 2009; 38: 188-193.

31. Rowe FJ. Visual impairment in stroke survivors: a prospective multicentre trial. *31st European Strabismological Association Meeting*. Mykonos, Greece2007, p. 185-188.

32. Rowe F and VIS Group UK. Visual perceptual consequences of stroke. *Strabismus* 2008; 17: 24-28.

33. Mort DJ, Malhotra P, Mannan SK, et al. The anatomy of visual neglect. *Brain* 2003; 126: 1986-1997.

34. Cherney LR and Harper AS. Unilateral visual neglect in righthemisphere stroke: a longitudinal study. *Brain Injury* 2001; 15: 585-592.

35. Stone SP, Halligan PW and Greenwood RJ. The incidence of neglect phenomena and related disorders in patients with an acute right or left hemisphere stroke. *Age and Ageing* 1993; 22: 46-52.

36. Beis J, Keller C, Morin N, et al. Right spatial neglect after left hemisphere stroke: qualitative and quantitative study. *Neurology* 2004; 63: 1600-1605.

37. Sunderland A, Wade DT and Langton Hewer R. The natural history of visual neglect after stroke. Indications from two methods of assessment. *International Disability Studies* 1987; 9: 55-59.

38. Cassidy TP, Lewis S and Gray CS. Recovery from visuospatial neglect in stroke patients. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry* 1998; 64: 555-557.

39. Townsend BS, Sturm JW, Petsoglou C, et al. Perimetric homonymous visual field loss post-stroke. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* 2007; 14: 754-756.

40. Beis J-M, Andre J-M and Sauguez A. Detection of visual field deficits and visual neglect with computerized light emiting diodes. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabiliation* 1994; 75: 711-714.

41. Gray CS, French JM, Bates D, et al. Recovery of visual fields in acute stroke: homonymous hemianopia associated with adverse prognosis. *Age and Ageing* 1989; 18: 419-421.

42. Pambakian ALM, Currie J and Kennard C. Rehabilitation stategies for patients with homonymous visual field defects. *Journal of Neuro-Ophthalmology* 2005; 25: 136-142.

43. Zhang X, Kedar S, Lynn MJ, et al. Natural history of homonymous hemianopia. *Neurology* 2006; 66: 901-905.

44. Rowe FJ, Wright D, Brand D, et al. A prospective profile of visual field loss following stroke: prevalence, type, rehabilitation and outcome. *BioMed Research International* 2013; 2013. DOI: 10.1155/2013/719096.

45. National Institute for for Health and Clinical Excellence. *Stroke rehabilitation in adults*. 2013. Manchester: National Institute for for Health and Clinical Excellence.

46. Rowe FJ, Hepworth LR and Kirkham JJ. Development of core outcome sets for vision screening and assessment in stroke: a Delphi and consensus study. *BMJ Open* 2019. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029578.

47. Jehkonen M, Ahonen JP, Dastidar P, et al. Visual neglect as a predictor of functional outcome one year after stroke. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 2000; 101: 195-201.

48. Buxbaum LJ, Ferraro MK, Veramonti T, et al. Hemispatial neglect: subtypes, neuroanatomy and disability. *Neurology* 2004; 62: 749-756.

49. Kalra L, Perez I, Gupta S, et al. The influence of visual neglect on stroke rehabilitation. *Stroke* 1997; 28: 1386-1391.

50. Riggs RV, Andrews K, Roberts P, et al. Visual deficit interventions in adult stroke and brain injury. *Brain Injury* 2007; 86: 853-860.

51. Berberovic N and Mattingley JB. Effects of prismatic adaptation on judgeents of spatial extent in peripersonal and extrapersonal space. *Neuropsychologia* 2003; 41: 493-503.

52. Niemeier JP, Cifu DX and Kishore R. The Lighthouse Strategy: improving the functional status of patients with unilateral neglect after stroke and brain injury using a visual imagery intervention. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation* 2001; 8: 10-18.

53. Niemeier JP. The Lighthouse Strategy: use of a visual imagery technique to treat visual inattention in stroke patients. *Brain Injury* 1998; 12: 399-406.

54. Luauté J, Halligan P, Rode G, et al. Visuo-spatial neglect: A systematic review of current interventions and their effectiveness. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 2006; 30: 961-982.

55. Bowen A, Hazelton C, Pollock A, et al. Cognitive rehabilitation for spatial neglect following stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013; 7. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003586.pub3.

56. Cicerone KD, Dahlberg C, Malec JF, et al. Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: updated review of the literature from 1998 through 2002. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabiliation* 2005; 86: 1681-1692.

57. Jutai JW, Bhogal SK, Foley NC, et al. Treatment of visual perceptual disorders post stroke. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation* 2003; 10: 77-106.

58. Peli E. Field expansion for homonymous hemianopia by optically induced peripheral exotropia. *Optometry and Vision Science* 2000; 77: 453-464.

59. Rossetti Y, Rode G, Pisella L, et al. Prism adaptation to a rightward opticial deviation rehabilitates left visuospatial neglect. *Nature* 1998; 395: 166-169.

60. Paolucci S, Antonucci G, Guariglia C, et al. Facilitatory effect of neglect rehabilitation on the recovery of left hemiplegic stroke patients: a cross-over study. *Journal of Neurology* 1996; 243: 308-314.

61. Pollock A, Hazleton C, Rowe FJ, et al. Interventions for visual field defects in people with stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2019. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008388.pub3.

62. Rowe FJ, Conroy EJ, Bedson E, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial comparing effectiveness of prism glasses, visual search training and standard care in hemianopia. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* 2016. DOI: 10.1111/ane.12725.

63. Pollock A, Hazelton C, Henderson CA, et al. Interventions for visual field defects in patients with stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011; 10. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008388.pub2.

64. Kerkhoff G. Neurovisual rehabilitation: recent developments and future directions. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry* 2000; 68: 691-706.

65. Reinhard J, Schreiber A, Schiefer U, et al. Does visual restitution training change absolute homonymous visual field defects? A fundus controlled study. *British Journal of Ophthalmology* 2005; 89: 30-35.

66. Johansen A, White S and Waraisch P. Screening for visual impairment in older people: validation of the Cardiff Acuity Test. *36* 2003; 3.

67. Kapoor N, Ciuffreda KJ and Han Y. Oculomotor rehabilitation in acquired brain injury: a case series. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabiliation* 2004; 85: 1667-1678.

68. Hanna KL, Hepworth LR and Rowe FJ. The treatment methods for post-stroke visual impairment: a systematic review. *Brain and Behavior* 2017; 7. DOI: 10.1002/brb3.682.

69. Hepworth L, Rowe F and Waterman H. VeRSE: Vertical Reading Strategy Efficacy for homonymous hemianopia after stroke: A feasibility study. *British and Irish Orthoptic Journal* 2019; 15: 28-35.

70. Rowe FJ, Hepworth LR, Howard C, et al. Developing a stroke-vision care pathway: a consensus study. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2020. DOI: 10.1080/09638288.2020.1768302.

71. Royal College of Physicians. Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme (SSNAP), <u>www.strokeaudit.org/</u> (2017).

72. Rowe F and Hepworth L. Ten years on - a survey of Orthoptic stroke services in the UK and Ireland. *British and Irish Orthoptic Journal* 2019.

73. Rowe F, Walker M, Rockliffe J, et al. Delivery of high quality stroke and vision care: experiences of UK services. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2016; 38: 813-817.

74. Campbell J, Tyrrell P, Bray B, et al. *How good is stroke care? First SSNAP Annual Report.* 2014. London: Royal College of Physicians.